P. .. ENT COOPERATION TREAT

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU			
PCT	To:			
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION (PCT Rule 61.2)	United States Patent and Trademark Office (Box PCT) Crystal Plaza 2 Washington, DC 20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE			
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 02 June 1999 (02.06.99)	in its capacity as elected Office			
nternational application No. PCT/GB98/02802	Applicant's or agent's file reference N.73312B GCW			
nternational filing date (day/month/year) 16 September 1998 (16.09.98)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 September 1997 (16.09.97)			
Applicant				
COLE, David, J. et al				
The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 16 April 1999 (16.04.99) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:				
was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority of Rule 32.2(b).	late or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under			

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer F. Zotomayor
Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Form PCT/IB/331 (July 1992)



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No.	Applicant's or agent's file reference N. 73312B GCW	FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.					
Applicant RHONE-POULENC AGRICULTURE LIMITED et al. This international Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of		International filing sate (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Peport consists of a total of	PCT/GB 98/02802	16/09/1998	16/09/1997				
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant						
according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to line International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	RHONE-POULENC AGRICULTURE	LIMITED et al.					
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was flied, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23 1(b)) With regard to any nucleotide andlor amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: Contained in the international application in written form. If the dispelher with the international application in computer readable form. Turnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. It turnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. X			nonty and is transmitted to the applicant				
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rute 23 1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing; contained in the international application in written form. If lied together with the international application in computer readable form. Turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. It furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. It has statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. It has statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. It has tatement that the thin formation recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. With regard to the little, It has text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. None of the figures. None of the figures.			report.				
language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23 1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. X turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. It turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. X the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. X the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. X the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. X the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. With regard to the little, X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, W the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. as suggested by the applicant. Decause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.							
Authority (Rule 23 ((b)) With regard to any nucleositide andlor amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. If lied together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. It furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. It the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. It he statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. It he statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. It has statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. With regard to the written sequence listing (see Box II). With regard to the little, It has text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: With regard to the abstract, It has been established by this Authority to read as follows: With regard to the abstract, Which regard to the abstract, It has been established by this Authority to read as follows: None of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. as suggested by the applicant.			sis of the international application in the				
was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: X		as carried out on the basis of a translation of ti	he international application furnished to this				
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. X	was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: X contained in the international application in written form.						
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. X							
international application as field has been furnished. X							
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the little, X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawlings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	international application as filed has been furnished. X the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been						
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the title, X	2 X Cartain claims were found uncearchable (See Boy I)						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of malling of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. The figure of the drawlings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.							
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: With regard to the abstract,	4. With regard to the title,						
5. With regard to the abstract, X							
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures.	the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:						
as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures.	the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may,						
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.							

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT



B x I Ob ervati ns where c rtain claims wer f und unsearchabl (C ntinuati n fitem 1 of first heet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: 44,63 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Claims Nos.:
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International Application No. PCT/GB 98/02802

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Claims Nos.: 44,63

Claims 44 and 63 concern a compound, which however, is only defined by the methods which can be used in order to identify this compound. Since it is completely unclear which kind of substances will be identified by the respective methods and since in the specification no concrete examples for these kind of substances are given, the scope of said claims is totally ambiguous and undefined. Moreover, it cannot be excluded that even substances known in the art may be recognized as compounds being capable of being metabolized by a glutathione transferase by the respective used methods.

ONAL SEARCH REPORT

onal Application No PCT/GB 98/02802

Relevent to claim No

A CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 C12N15/54 C12N15/82 C12N9/10 C12N5/10 C12P21/02 A01H5/00 A01H4/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 6 C12N

Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

X	EMBL DATABASE, EMPLN:ZMY12862 ACCESSION-NO. Y12862, 30.07.1 XP002101572 see the whole document & DIXON, D.P. ET AL.: "Purif regulation and cloning of a g transferases (GST) from maize the auxin-inducible type-III PLANT MOL.BIOL., vol. 36, 1998, pages 75-87,	997, 38,45, 46,61 ication, lutathione resembling
X Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed in annex.
"A" docum- consider "E" earlier filing of "L" docum- which citatio "O" docum- other	ategories of cited documents: ant defining the general state of the art which is not derived to be of particular relevance descended by the planticular relevance document but published on or after the international document but published on or after the international control of the cited o	"I" later document published after the international filing date or priority data and not in conflict with the application but or priority data and not in conflict with the application but invention in the principle or the ray underlying the invention and the so-maileder darves of cannot be considered to considered to considered to so considered to the considered to considered

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 April 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA *&* document member of the same patent family

Authorized officer

Donath, C

Date of mailing of the international search report 23.05.55

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB 98/02802

ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	PCT/GB 98/02802
Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
EMBL DATABASE, EMPLN:ZMGST27, ACCESSION-NO. X79515, 28.02.1995, XP002101643	1,2,4-6, 38,45, 46,61
see the whole document & JEPSON, I.ET AL.: "Cloning and characterization of maize herbicide safener-induced cDNAs encoding subunits of glutathione S-transferase isoforms I, II and IV" PLANT MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, vol. 26, no. 6, December 1994, pages 1855-1865, see page 1858 - page 1865 'Results' and 'Discussion'	1-43, 45-63
CUMMINS, I. ET AL.: "Purificationof multiple glutathione transferases involved in herbicide detoxification from wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) btreated with the safener fenchlorazole-ethyl" PESTICIDE BIOCHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY, vol. 59, no. 1, December 1997, pages 35-49, XP002101573 see the whole document	1-43, 45-63
RIECHERS, D.E. ET AL.: "Partial characterizationof glutathione S-transferases from wheat (Triticum spp.) and purificatoin of a safener-induced glutathione S-transferase from Triticum tauschii" PLANT PHYSIOLOGY, vol. 114, no. 4, August 1997, pages 1461-1470, XP002101574 cited in the application see the whole document	1-43, 45-63
EDWARDS, R.: "Characterisation of glutathione transferses and glutathione peroxidases in pea (Pisum sativum)" PHYS10L0GIA PLANTARUM, vol. 98, no. 3, 1996, pages 594-604, XP002101575 see page 597 - page 603 'Results' and 'Discussion'	1-43, 45-63
WO 93 01294 A (IMPERIAL CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES PLC) 21 January 1993 see page 3, line 15 - page 10, line 5	1-43, 45-63
	EMBL DATABASE, EMPLN:ZMGST27, ACCESSION-NO. X79515, 28.02.1995, XP002101643 see the whole document & JEPSON, I.ET AL.: "Cloning and characterization of maize herbicide safener-induced cDNAs encoding subunits of glutathione S-transferase isoforms I, II and IV" PLANT MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, vol. 26, no. 6, December 1994, pages 1855-1865, see page 1858 - page 1865 'Results' and 'Discussion' CUMMINS, I. ET AL.: "Purificationof multiple glutathione transferases involved in herbicide detoxification from wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) btreated with the safener fenchlorazole-ethyl" PESTICIDE BIOCHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY, vol. 59, no. 1, December 1997, pages 35-49, XP002101573 see the whole document RIECHERS, D.E. ET AL.: "Partial characterizationof glutathione S-transferases from wheat (Triticum spp.) and purification of a safener-induced glutathione S-transferase from Triticum tauschii" PLANT PHYSIOLOGY, vol. 114, no. 4, August 1997, pages 1461-1470, XP002101574 cited in the application see the whole document EDWARDS, R.: "Characterisation of glutathione transferses and glutathione peroxidases in pea (Pisum sativum)" PHYSIOLOGIA PLANTARUM, vol. 98, no. 3, 1996, pages 594-604, XP002101575 see page 597 - page 603 'Results' and 'Discussion'

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB 98/02802

WO 9301294 A 21-01-1993 AU 672362 B AU 2195992 A AU 690855 B AU 6210496 A CA 2111983 A EP 0603190 A JP 6511385 T US 5886792 A US 5866792 A	11-02-1993 30-04-1998 21-11-1996 21-01-1993 29-06-1994 22-12-1994 31-12-1996

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES

(PCT Rule 47.1(c), first sentence)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 25 March 1999 (25.03.99)

Applicant's or agent's file reference N.73312B GCW

International application No. PCT/GR98/02802

International filing date (day/month/year)

16 September 1998 (16.09.98)

IMPORTANT NOTICE

S. APPR 1999

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

14 South Square MP & Co

BENTHAM, Stephen J.A. Kemp & Co.

London WC1R 5LX ROYAUMEUNI

Action by.

Priority date (day/month/year) 16 September 1997 (16.09.97)

Applicant

RHONE-POULENC AGRICULTURE LIMITED et al

Notice is hereby given that the International Bureau has communicated, as provided in Article 20, the international application to the following designated Offices on the date indicated above as the date of mailing of this Notice: AU, BR, CN, EP, IL, JP, KP, KR, US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c), third sentence, those Offices will accept the present Notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

2. The following designated Offices have waived the requirement for such a communication at this time:

AL,AM,AP,AT,AZ,BA,BB,BG,BY,CA,CH,CU,CZ,DE,DK,EA,EE,ES,FI,GB,GE,GH,GM,HR,HU,ID,IS, KE,KG,KZ,LC,LK,LR,LS,LT,LU,LV,MD,MG,MK;MN,MW,MX,NO,NZ,OA,PL,PT,RO,RU,SD,SE,SG,SI,

SK,SL,TJ,TM,TR,TT,UA,UG,UZ,VN,YU,ZW
The communication will be made to those Offices only upon their request. Furthermore, those Offices do not require the applicant to furnish a copy of the international application (Rule 49.1(a-bis)).

3. Enclosed with this Notice is a copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on 25 March 1999 (25,03,99) under No. WO 99/14337

REMINDER REGARDING CHAPTER II (Article 31(2)(a) and Rule 54.2)

If the applicant wishes to postpone entry into the national phase until 30 months (or later in some Offices) from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed with the competent International Preliminary Examining Authority before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor the 19-month time limit.

Note that only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination.

REMINDER REGARDING ENTRY INTO THE NATIONAL PHASE (Article 22 or 39(1))

If the applicant wishes to proceed with the international application in the national phase, he must, within 20 months or 30 months, or later in some Offices, perform the acts referred to therein before each designated or elected Office.

For further important information on the time limits and acts to be performed for entering the national phase, see the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 (Notification of Receipt of Record Copy) and Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34. chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

J. Zahra

Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35

Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38



NOTIFICATION CONCERNING SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

PCT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

BENTHAM, Stephen J.A. Kemp & Co. 14 South Square Gray's Inn London WC1R 5LX ROYAUME-UNI

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 09 October 1998 (09.10.98)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference N.73312B GCW	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION	
International application No. PCT/GB98/02802	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 September 1998 (16.09.98)	
International publication date (day/month/year) Not yet published	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 September 1997 (16.09.97)	
Applicant		

RHONE-POULENC AGRICULTURE LIMITED et al

- I. The applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt (except where the letters "NR" appear in the right-hand column) by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to the earlier application(s) indicated below. Unless otherwise indicated by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, or by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
- 2. This updates and replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents.
- 3. An asterisk!*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b). In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(e) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 4. The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which was not received by the International Bureau or which the applicant did not request the receiving Office to prepare and transmit to the International Bureau, as provided by Rule 17.1(a) or (b), respectively. In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority datin concerned before giving the applicant on apportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the original responsable under the original responsable to the provision of the provis

 Priority date
 Priority application No.
 Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office
 Date of receipt of priority document

 16 Sept 1997 (16.09.97)
 9719727.1
 GB
 07 Octo 1998 (07.10.98)

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland
Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35

Authorized officer

Diana Nissen

Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38

Form PCT/IB/304 (July 1998)

002277423

- For receiving Office use only -PCT International Application No. REQUEST International Filing Date The undersigned requests that the present international application be processed according to the Patent Cooperation Treaty. Name of receiving Office and "PCT International Application" Applicant's or agent's file reference (if desired) (12 characters maximum) N.73312B GCW Box No. I TITLE OF INVENTION NEW PLANT GENES APPLICANT Box No. II Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country. The country of the address indicated in this Box is the applicant's Stude (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below.) This person is also inventor. Telephone No. RHONE-POULENC AGRICULTURE LIMITED Fvfield Road, Ongar, Facsimile No Essex. CM5 0HW. Teleprinter No. UNITED KINGDOM. State (that is, country) of nationality: State (that is, country) of residence: GB the States indicated in the Supplemental Box This person is applicant all designated States all designated States except the United States of America the United States of America only for the purposes of: FURTHER APPLICANT(S) AND/OR (FURTHER) INVENTOR(S) Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country. The country of the address indicated in this Box is the applicant's Stude (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below.) This person is: COLE, David J. applicant only Rhone-Poulenc Agriculture Limited, Fyfield Road. applicant and inventor Ongar, inventor only (If this check-box is marked, do not fill in below.) Essex. CM5 OHW. UNITED KINGDOM. State (that is country) of nationality: State (that is, country) of residence: GB GB This person is applicant for the purposes of: all designated all designated States except the United States of America the United States of America only the States indicated in the Supplemental Box Further applicants and/or (further) inventors are indicated on a continuation sheet. AGENT OR COMMON REPRESENTATIVE; OR ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE The person identified below is hereby/has been appointed to act on behalf common representative **X** agent of the applicant(s) before the competent International Authorities as: Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.) Telephone No. +44 171 405 3292

Adress for correspondence: Mark this check-box where no agent or common representative is/has been appointed and the space above is used instead to indicate a special address to which correspondence should be sent. Form PCT/RO/101 (first sheet) (July 1998)

BENTHAM, Stephen

London, WC1R 5LX. United Kingdom

J.A. KEMP & CO., 14 South Square,

Gray's Inn.

See Notes to the request form

Facsimile No.

Teleprinter No.

23676

+44 171 242 8932

Continuation of Box No. III FURTHER APPLICANTS AND/OR (FURTHER) INVENTORS					
If none of the following sub-boxes is used,	this sheet should not be included in the request.				
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country. The country of the address indicated in this back is the applicant." Stalle (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below.) CUMMINS, Ian applicant only					
Department of Biological Sciences, University of Durham, Durham,	applicant and inventor				
DH1 3LE, UNITED KINGDOM.	inventor only (If this check-box is marked, do not fill in below.)				
State (that is, country) of nationality: GB	State (that is, country) of residence:				
This person is applicant all designated all designated for the purposes of:	States except the United States the States indicated in the Supplemental Box				
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal en The address must include postal code and name of country. The country of Box is the applicant's State (that is, country) of residence if no State of res	tity, full official designation. the address indicated in this idence is indicated below.) This person is:				
EDWARDS, Robert	applicantonly				
Department of Biological Sciences, University of Durham, Durham.	X applicant and inventor				
DH1 3LE, UNITED KINGDOM.	inventor only (If this check-box is marked, do not fill in below.)				
State (that is, country) of nationality: State (that is, country) of residence: GB GB					
This person is applicant for the purposes of: all designated the United States all designated the United States.	States except tes of America only the States indicated in the Supplemental Box				
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation.) The address must cleak postal code and end or gloury. The country of the didters indicated that its flow it the applicant's State (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below) This person is: applicant only applicant and inventor inventor only (if this check-box is marked, do not fill in below)					
State (that is, country) of nationality: State (that is, country) of residence:					
for the purposes of: States the United St	States except the United States the States indicated in the Supplemental Box				
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation.) The address materialed postul code and name of country. The country of the address indicated in this Box is the applicant's State (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below.) This person is: applicant only applicant and inventor inventor only (ff this check-box is marked, do not fill in below.)					
State (that is, country) of nationality: State (that is, country) of residence:					
This person is applicant for the purposes of: all designated States except the United States of America only the Supplemental Box					
Further applicants and/or (further) inventors are indicated on another continuation sheet.					

Sheet No3						
Box N	lo.V	DESIGNATION OF STATES				
The f	ollowi	ng designations are hereby made under Rule 4.9	(a)(n	ark th	e applicable check-boxes; at least one must be marked):	
Regin	nal Pa	tent				
×			LS I	esoth	o, MW Malawi, SD Sudan, SZ Swaziland, UG Uganda, te of the Harare Protocol and of the PCT	
(2)	EA	Eurasian Patent: AM Armenia, AZ Azerbaijan,	BY I	Belaru	s, KG Kyrgyzstan, KZ Kazakhstan, MD Republic of istan, and any other State which is a Contracting State	
X	EP					
X	OA	GA Gabon, GN Guinea, ML Mali, MR Mauritania,	NE	Viger.	Republic, CG Congo, CI Côte d'Ivoire, CM Cameroon, SN Senegal, TD Chad, TG Togo, and any other State he PCT(if other kind of protection or treatment desired, specify	
Natio	nal P	atent (if other kind of protection or treatment desired,	spec	ify on	dotted line):	
X	AL	Albania	8	LS	Lesotho	
×	AM	Armenia	X	LT	Lithuania	
×	AT	Austria	×	LU	Luxembourg	
X	ΑU	Australia	X	LV	Latvia	
(X)	ΑZ	Azerbaijan	X	MD	Republic of Moldova	
X	BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	X	MG	Madagascar	
×	BB	Barbados	X	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	
(X)	BG	Bulgaria				
X		Brazil	X	MN	Mongolia	
[X]		Belarus	(Z)	MW	Malawi	
[A]		Canada	[X]		Mexico	
X		and LI Switzerland and Liechtenstein	X		Norway	
[X]		China	X		New Zealand	
×		Cuba	X		Poland	
(X)		Czech Republic	×		Portugal	
181		Germany	121		Romania	
120		Denmark	X	RU	Russian Federation	
[X]		Estonia	XI	SD	Sudan	
[2]	ES	Spain	X	SE	Sweden	
[X]		•	(X)	SG		
X	FI	Finland	(X)	SI	Singapore Slovenia	
(X)		United Kingdom	E	SK		
121		Georgia	X	SL	Slovakia	
_		Ghana	_		Sierra Leone	
X		Gambia	×	TJ	Tajikistan	
×		Guinea-Bissau	X		Turkmenistan	
X		Croatia	X	TR	Turkey	
Ø		Hungary	×	TT	Trinidad and Tobago	
X	ID	Indonesia	X	UA	Ukraine	
<u> </u>	IL	Israel	Ø	UG	Uganda	
Ø	IS	Iceland	X	US	United States of America	
X	JP	Japan	_			
		Kenya	X	UZ	Uzbekistan	
×		Kyrgyzstan				
X	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	Ø		Yugoslavia	
			X		Zimbabwe	
X						
	KZ	Z. Kazakhstan a national patent) which have become party to the PCT after issuance of this sheet:				

IN LR Liberia O Precautionary Designation Statement: In addition to the designations made above the applicant also makes under Rule 4.9(b) all other designations which would be permitted under the PCT except any designation(s) indicated in the Supplemental Box a sheing excluded from the scope of this statement. The applicant declares that those additional designations are subject to confirmation and that any designation which is not confirmed before the expiration of 15 months from the priority date is to be reparded as withdrawn by the applicant at the expiration of that time limit. Confirmation of a designation coasts of the filing of a notice specifying that designation and the payment of the designation and confirmation fees. Confirmation must reach the receiving Office within the 15-month time limit.)

LC Saint Lucia

X LK Sri Lanka If, in any of the Boxes, the space is insufficient to furnish all the information: in such case, write "Continuation of Box No..." lindicate the number of the Box) and furnish the information in the same manner as required according to the captions of the Box in which the space was insufficient. in particular:

- if more than two persons are involved as applicants and/or inventors and no "continuation sheet" is available; in such case, write "Continuation of Box No. III" and indicate for each additional person the same type of information as required in Box No. III. The country of the address indicated in this Box is the applicant's State (that is, country) of residence if no State of residence is indicated below
- (ii) II, in BowNo, II or lange of the sub-bowes of BowNo, III, the indication "the States indicated in the Supplemental Box" is checked; in such case, write: "Continuation of Box No, III or "Continuation of Box No, III" (as the case may be, indicate the name of the applicant(s) involved and, next to (each) such name, the State(s) (and/or, where applicable, ARIPO, Eurostan, European or OAP) patently for the purposes of which the named person is applicant.
- (iii) II, it Pax No, II or ju any of the sub-books of Box No, III, the inventor or the inventor/applicant is not inventor for the surposes of all designated States or for the purposes of the United States of American is not case, write: Continuation of Box No, III or "Continuation of Box No, IIII" or "Continuation of Boxes No, II and No, III" as the case may be), indicate the name of the inventor(s) and, nexto (each) such name, the State(s) (and/or, where applicable, ARIPO, Eurstain, Europeanor OAPI patent) for the purposes of which the named person is inventor;
- (iv) if, in addition to the agent(s) indicated in Box No. IV, there are further agents: in such case, write "Continuation of Box No. IV" and indicate for each further agent the same type of information as required in Box No. IV;
- (i) If inBoxNo, V the name of any Sate (no CAPI) is accompanied by the indication "intented addition," or "certificate of addition," or I, an InSt. No. V, the name of the Initied States of America is accompanied by an Indication "continuation" or "continuation in part": in such case, write "Continuation of BoxNo. V" and the name of each State involved for OAPI), and after the name of each such safe (no CAPI), and after the name of each such safe (no CAPI). The number of the parent title or parent application and the date of grant of the parent title or Patent application and the date of grant of the parent title or Patent application and the date of grant of the parent title or Patent application and the date of grant of the parent title or Patent application and the date of grant of the parent title or Patent application and the date of grant of the parent title or parent application and the date of grant of the parent title or parent title or parent application and the date of grant of the parent title or parent application and the date of grant of the parent title or parent title or parent application and the date of grant of the parent title or parent title or parent parent title or parent parent title or parent parent title or parent p of the parent application;
- (vi) If, in Box No. VI, there are more than three earlier applications whose priority is claimed: in such case, write "Continuation of Box No. VI" and indicate for each additional earlier application the same type of information as required in Box No. VI;
- (vii) If, in Box No. VI, the earlier application is an ARIPO application: in such case, write "Continuation of Box No. VI", specify the number of the item corresponding to that earlier application and indicate at lesst one country party to the Paris Convention for the Protection of Indistrial Property for which that earlier application was filed.
- If, with regard to the precautionary designation statement contained in Box No. V, the applicant wishes to exclude any State(s) from the scope of that statement: in such case, write: "Designation(s) excluded from precautionary designation statement" and indicate the name or two-letter code of each faste so excluded.
- If the applicant claims, in respect of any designated Office, the benefits of provisions of the national law concerning non-prejudicial disclosures or exceptions to lack of novelty: in such case, write "Statement concerning non-prejudicial disclosures or exceptions to lack of novelty" and furnish that satement below.

ALLEN, William Guy Fairfax; GOLDIN, Douglas Michael; ELLIS-JONES, Patrick George Armine; BARLOW, Roy James: SENIOR, Alan Murray: BENTHAM, Stephen; AYERS, Martyn Lewis Stanley; WOODS, Geoffrey Corlett: CRESSWELL, Thomas Anthony: SEXTON, Jane Helen; NICHOLLS, Michael John; MARSHALL Monica Anne; WEBB, Andrew John; KEEN, Celia Mary; PRICE, Nigel John King; IRVINE, Jonquil Claire; LEEMING, John Gerard; DUCKWORTH, Timothy John; MCCLUSKIE, Gail Wilson; WRIGHT, Simon Mark; CURWEN, Julian Charles Barton: CLEEVE, James Harold Findlay: SMITH, Samuel Leonard: BENSON, John Everett, CAMPBELL Patrick John; MERRYWEATHER, Colin Henry; DUCKETT, Anthony Joseph; MIDGLEY, Jonathan Lee; BENTHAM, Andrew; and ROQUES, Sarah Elizabeth of: J.A. KEMP & CO., 14 South Square, Grav's Inn. London, WC1R 5LX, United Kingdom.

Box No. VI PRIORITY	x No. VI PRIORITY CLAIM Further priority claims are indicated in the Supplemental Box.				
Filing datc Number			Where earlier application is:		
of earlier application (day/month/year)	orear	lier application	national application: country	regional application:* regional Office	international application: receiving Office
item(1)					
16 September 1997	97	19727.1	GB		
item (2)					
item (3)					
of the earlier application	n(s) (only il Internationa	f the earlier ap; al application is	ansmit to the International B blication was filed with the the receiving Office) identif	Office which for the ied above as item(s):	1
Where the earlier application Convention for the Protection of	is an ARIPO f Industrial F	roperty for which	mandatory to indicate in the s that earlier application was fi	led (Rule 4.10(b)(ii)). See	Supplemental Box.
Box No. VII INTERNAT	TONALSE	ARCHING AU	JTHORITY		
Choice of International Sea (if two or more International competent to carry out the inte the Authority chosen; the two-l	rching Auth Searching As ernational sea etter code ma	uthorities are arch, indicate ay be used):	Request to use results of ear earch has been carried out by a Date (day/month/year)	rlier search; reference or requested from the Inte. Number	e to that search (if an earlier rnational Searching Authority): Country (or regional Office)
ISA /		1			
Box No. VIII CHECK LI	ST; LANG	UAGE OF FI	LING		
This international application the following number of sh		1	onal application isaccompar	nied by the item(s) mark	red below:
request :5		_	te signed power of attorney		
description (excluding sequence listing part) : 6	1		f general power of attorney;	reference number if a	mv.
claims : 10		,	ent explaining lack of signat		
abstract :1	•	_	y document(s) identified in I		
drawings : 2			tion of international applica		
sequence listing part		_	te indications concerning de		or other biological material
of description : 2	7	8. nucleo	tide and/or amino acid sequ	ence listing in compute	r readable form
Total number of sheets : 1	05	9. 🔀 other (specify): Patents Form	23/77	
Figure of the drawings whi should accompany the abstr	ich act:		Language of filing of the international application:	English	
Box No. IX SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR AGENT					
Next to each signature, indicate the	e name of the p	person signing and	the capacity in which the person s.	igns (if such capacity is not o	bvious from reading the request).
BENTHM. ANDREW ANTROUGE REPRESENTATIVE					
l for					
BENTHAM, Stephen AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE 16 September 1998					
For receiving Office use only					
Date of actual receipt of the purported international application: Corrected date of actual receipt due to later but					
timely received papers or drawings completing the purported international application:					
4. Date of timely receipt of the required					
5. International Searching Authority ISA / 6. Transmittal of search copy delayed until search fee is paid.					
For International Bureau use only					
Date of receipt of the recor by the International Bureau	d copy				

This sheet is not part of and does not count as a sheet of the international application.

PCT	For receiving Office use only		
FEE CALCULATION SHEET			
Annex to the Request	International application No.		
Applicant's or agent's filereference N.73312B GCW	Date stamp of the receiving Office		
Applicant			
RHONE-POULENC AGRICULTURE L	IMITED		
CALCULATION OF PRESCRIBED FEES			
1. TRANSMITTAL FEE	£ 55 T		
2. SEARCH FEE	£ 753 S		
International search to be carried out by (If two or more International Searching Authorities are competent in relat application, indicate the name of the Authority which is chosen to carry out the	tion to the international international search.)		
3. INTERNATIONAL FEE	į į		
Basic Fee The international application contains 105 sheets.			
first 30 sheets £ 285	b,		
remaining sheets additional amount = £450	b ₂		
	£735 B		
Add amounts entered at b, and b, and enter total at B			
Designation Fees The international application contains 76 designations.			
11 x £65 =	£715 D		
number of designation fees amount of designation fee payable (maximum 11)			
Add amounts entered at B and D and enter total at 1	£1450 [i]		
(Applicants from certain States are entitled to a reduction of 75% of international fee. Where the applicants (or all applicants are seemfeld total to be entered at 1 is 25% of the sum of the amounts entered at B and	the the		
total to be entered at 1 is 25% of the sum of the amounts entered at B and 4. FEE FOR PRIORITY DOCUMENT	(D.) £ 22		
5. TOTAL FEES PAYABLE			
Add amounts entered at T, S, I and P, and enter total in the TOTA			
	TOTAL		
The designation fees are not paid at this time.			
MODE OF PAYMENT	1		
authorization to charge deposit account (see below) bank draft	coupons		
cheque cash	other (specify):		
postal money order revenue stamps			
DEPOSIT ACCOUNT AUTHORIZATION (this mode of payment	t may not be available at all receiving Offices)		
The RO/ is hereby authorized to charge the total fees indicated above to my deposit account.			
is hereby authorized to charge any deficie deposit account.	ency or credit any overpayment in the total fees indicated above to my		
is hereby authorized to charge the fee for p Bureau of WIPO to my deposit account.	oreparation and transmittal of the priority document to the International		
Deposit Account Number Date (day/month/year)	Signature		

Applicant's or agent's file reference

REC'D 0 1 FEB 2000

MPO

See Notification of Transmittal of International

POT

PCT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

N.73312B GCW	FOR FURTHER A	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/GB98/02802	16/09/1998		16/09/1997			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C12N15/54						
Applicant						
RHONE-POULENC AGRICULTURE LIMITED et al.						
	minary examination report has been he applicant according to Article 36.	prepared by this In	ternational Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. This REPORT consist	s of a total of 6 sheets, including thi	s cover sheet.				
☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which hav been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
I ⊠ Basis of th	те героп					
	lightment of only in with sound to a	avaltu invantiva ata	a and industrial configuration			
III ☑ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention						
V ⊠ Reasoned	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations suporting such statement					
VI □ Certain d	VI					
VII ☐ Certain de	Certain defects in the international application					
VIII 🖾 Certain ob	Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the dem	nand	Date of completion	of this report			
16/04/1999			2 6. 01. ⁰⁰			
Name and mailing address of preliminary examining authorit		Authorized officer	ST MONS MODING			
C D.1	04	1	/# sh %			

Donath, C

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8710

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 1994)

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB98/02802

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.): Description, pages: as originally filed 1-87 Claims, No.: 1-63 as originally filed Drawings, sheets: 1/2-2/2 as originally filed 2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description. pages: ☐ the claims, Nos.: the drawings. sheets: 3. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)): 4. Additional observations, if necessary: III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: the entire international application. because:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB98/02802

	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
×	the claims, or said claims Nos. $44,63$ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
×	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 44,63.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: No:		3,9,14-16,18-28,30,32-36,39-43,52-54,57-59,62 1,2,4-8,10-13,17,29,31,37,38,45-51,55,56,60,61
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: No:		3,9,14-16,18-28,30,32-36,39-43,52-54,57-59,62 1,2,4-8,10-13,17,29,31,37,38,45-51,55,56,60,61
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims	1-43,45-62

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Ad section III.:

Claims 44 and 63 concern a compound, which however, is only defined by the methods which can be used in order to identify this compound. Since it is completely unclear which kind of substances will be identified by the respective methods and since in the specification no concrete examples for these kind of substances are given, the scope of said claims is totally ambiguous and undefined. Moreover, it cannot be excluded that even substances known in the art may be recognized as compounds being capable of being metabolized by a glutathione transferase by the respective used methods.

Furthermore, as the applicant has not had an International Search Report drawn up for claims 44 and 63, consequently no preliminary examination can be performed in respect of said claims.

Ad section V.:

- 1. The following documents are cited:
 - D1 EMBL Database, Empln: Zmv12862, Accession-No. Y12862, 30.07.1997
 - D2 Plant Molecular Biology 26(6), 1855-1866, 1994
- 2. The present International application refers to the isolation, cloning and sequencing of several glutathione transferase (GST) isoenzyme subunits with activity towards herbicides from wheat shoots treated with herbicide safener fenchlorazole-ethyl, as well as to the use of said GST subunits and its coding sequences in methods for obtaining transgenic plants or in methods for identifying compounds that induce GST expression in plants.
- 2.1 D1 discloses the nucleotide sequence and the corresponding amino acid sequence of the GST5 gene from zea mays. The nucleotide sequence shows 80.8% identity in 682 bp with that disclosed in SEQ ID NO:1 of the present International application. Thus, the sequence described in D1 would inevitably hybridize selectively to the coding sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 and also comprises a fragment thereof.

Therefore, the above document is novelty-destroying for claims 1,2,4-6,38,45,46 and 61.

- 2.2 D2 describes the isolation of cDNA clones encoding safener-induced subunits present in GST I, GST II and GST IV using antibody and oligonucleotide probes. The clones then have been used as molecular probes to study corresponding mRNA levels upon safener treatment. The nucleotide sequence and deduced amino acid sequence of GST-27 cDNA as provided in Fig.3 of D2 shows 78.5% identity in 725 bp with that disclosed in SEQ ID NO:5 of the present application (see D2, p.1858-1865, 'Results' and 'Discussion').
 Thus, the above document is novelty-destroying for claims 1,2,4-8,10-13,17,29,31,37,38,45-51,55,56,60 and 61.
- The closest prior art to evaluate the inventiveness of claims 3,9,14-16,18-28,30, 32-36,39-43.52-54,57-59 and 62 is D2.

In the prior art no indication has been given for polynucleotide sequences encoding one of the specific amino acid sequences disclosed in SEQ ID NOs 2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16, or 18 of the present International application.

Thus, an inventive step has to be acknowledged for claims 3,9,14-16,18-28,30, 32-36,39-43,52-54,57-59 and 62 (Article 33(3) PCT).

Ad section VIII.:

- Claims 1 and 38 lack clarity due to the expression "capable of hybridising selectively to the coding sequence of ...". This term is very vague and imprecise and does not provide any technical information about the hybridization conditions.
- Claims 3 and 5 lack clarity due to the term " fragment thereof". This term is not suitable to clearly define the scope of the claims, because without definition of the length of the fragment this expression is absolutely vague and ambiguous.
- In addition claim 5 lacks clarity due to the expression "substantially homologous".
 This term is not suitable to clearly define the scope of the claims, because without

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB98/02802 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

definition of the degree of homology this expression is absolutely vague and ambiguous.

4. Claims 45 - 62 lack clarity due to the expression "substantially". This term is not suitable to clearly define the scope of the claims, because it is without technical significance and its vagueness makes it opened to individual interpretation. Moreover, claims 45 - 62 lack clarity due to their wording. In general, the scope of a claim should be defined by technical features, but not merely by reference to the description.